

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/574,240		FUCHS ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	EMMANUEL MAGLO		2472	

All Participants:

(1) EMMANUEL MAGLO

(2) Robert G. Lev

Date of Interview: 2 March 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
1, 88 and 89

Claims discussed:
1-8, 10-27, 33-36, 88, 89, 91-98 and 100

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: PM

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Cancelling Claims 1-8, 10-27, 33-36, 88, 89, 91-98 to further advance prosecution.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/E. M./
 Examiner, Art Unit 2472

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)